

March 10, 2023

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure, MPP
Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Department of Health and Human Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244

Re: Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; Advancing Interoperability and Improving Prior Authorization Processes for Medicare Advantage Organizations, Medicaid Managed Care Plans, State Medicaid Agencies, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Agencies and CHIP Managed Care Entities, Issuers of Qualified Health Plans on the Federally-Facilitated Exchanges, Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Eligible Clinicians, and Eligible Hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals in the Medicare Promoting Interoperability Program [CMS-0057-P]

Dear Administrator Brooks-LaSure:

On behalf of the undersigned 28 organizations made up of patient and provider groups, we appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' (CMS) proposed rule related to improving prior authorization processes (proposed rule). We greatly appreciate your leadership in removing barriers in access to care and treatment for enrollees in Medicare Advantage, Fee-For-Service and managed care Medicaid and CHIP arrangements, and ACA marketplace plans.

Improving Prior Authorization Processes

Overall, we are supportive of any policy changes that streamline prior authorization and remove administrative burdens on providers. While prior authorization's goal is to contain health care costs by ensuring that services are medically necessary prior to approval for payment, the requirements currently in place for prior authorization create barriers to care which lead to confusion, delays, and potential harm to patients.¹ As CMS states in the accompanying fact sheet to the proposed rule, prior authorization has also been identified as a major source of provider burnout and causes providers to expend resources on staff to identify prior authorization requirements that vary across payers and navigate the submission and approval process, which could otherwise be directed to clinical care.² In 2021 alone, Medicare Advantage plans made 35 million requests for prior authorization.¹ In all, prior authorization can cost an estimated \$2,140 to \$3,430 annually per full time physician.³

¹ Jeannie Fuglesten Biniek and Nolan Sroczyński, Over 35 Million Prior Authorization Requests Were submitted to Medicare Advantage Plans in 2021, Kaiser Family Foundation, February 2, 2023. Accessed at: <https://www.kff.org/medicare/issue-brief/over-35-million-prior-authorization-requests-were-submitted-to-medicare-advantage-plans-in-2021/#:~:text=Prior%20authorization%20is%20intended%20to,covered%20by%20a%20patient's%20insurance>.

² CMS. [Advancing Interoperability and Improving Prior Authorization Processes Proposed Rule CMS-0057-P: Fact Sheet](#), December 6, 2022.

³ Morley CP, Badolato DJ, Hickner J, Epling JW. The impact of prior authorization requirements on primary care physicians' offices: report of two parallel network studies. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2013; 26:93–95. doi: [10.3122/jabfm.2013.01.120062](https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2013.01.120062)

Additionally, and most importantly, is that prior authorization requirements can lead to poorer health outcomes for patients. For example, a study published in the *Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy* examined the records of more than 4,000 patients with Type 2 diabetes who were prescribed costly, newer medications requiring prior authorization. Those who were denied the medications had higher overall medical costs during the following year. Failure to receive and take medically necessary medications could be a factor contributing to inadequate control of diabetic conditions, which may result in an excess of resource utilization and increase costs for treating the disease and other comorbidities.⁴ We share CMS' goal of patient centered care and applaud the proposed changes made to streamline prior authorization requirements. Specifically, we agree that:

- **Payers should be required to build and maintain Prior Authorization Requirements, Documentation, and Decision Application Programming Interface (PAARDD API).** Such an API would significantly relieve provider burden by automating the process for providers to determine whether a prior authorization is required, identifying prior authorization information and documentation requirements, as well as facilitate the exchange of prior authorization requests and decisions from their electronic health records or practice management system. Ultimately, this API would standardize the prior authorization process, which would ensure patient access to timely, evidence-based care.⁵
- **Payers should be required to provide a reason for denial for a given prior authorization request.** The American College of Cardiology developed a tool to collect prior authorization denial information and preliminary data established that many requests are denied even though such services are deemed “appropriate” based on appropriate criteria.⁶ Furthermore, greater than 50% of denials do not lead to additional peer-to-peer discussion or are denied despite appeals.⁷ Requiring a reason will help curb these rampant denials and also further assist providers in treating patients by allowing them to determine the next course of action for a patient’s care plan. Additionally, it will ensure that patients understand why a service is being denied and providing them with agency to appeal the request if they so choose.
- **Prior authorization requests should be addressed by payers quickly.** Often, patient health is negatively impacted because a payer took too long to respond to a prior authorization request. In fact, a 2018 physician survey reported receiving a response within one business day for just under half of all PA requests (48%). Another 19% of requests received a response in two days, and 26% required three business days or longer (7% reporting not knowing average wait times).⁸ To avoid such delays, we advocate for shorter of the two turnaround times proposed by CMS, 48 hours for expedited request and five calendar days for standard requests.

⁴ Ani Turner, George Miller, Samantha Clark, Impacts of Prior Authorization on Health Care Costs and Quality, Altarum’s Center for Value in Health Care, p. 10, November 2019. Accessed at: <https://www.nihcr.org/wp-content/uploads/Altarum-Prior-Authorization-Review-November-2019.pdf>.

⁵ Eugene Yang, MD, MS and Sushan Yang, MD. *JACC Case Rep.* 2020 Aug; 2(10): 1466–1469; Published online 2020 Aug 19. doi: [10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.05.095](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.05.095)

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ Ani Turner, George Miller, Samantha Clark, Impacts of Prior Authorization on Health Care Costs and Quality, Altarum’s Center for Value in Health Care, p. 9, November 2019. Accessed at: <https://www.nihcr.org/wp-content/uploads/Altarum-Prior-Authorization-Review-November-2019.pdf>.

- **Payers should be required to publicly report prior authorization metrics.** We support making prior authorization metrics public so providers and individuals can understand how prior authorization decisions are made. This will allow providers to efficiently make changes to a care plan before a prior authorization is even filed.

Once again we laud your continued efforts to seek solutions to increase access and affordability for care and treatment. Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this important proposed rule. If you have any questions, please contact please contact Amy Niles, Chief Advocacy and Engagement Officer, Patient Access Network Foundation at aniles@panfoundation.org.

Sincerely,

ADAP Advocacy Association
American Urological Association
Bladder Cancer Advocacy Network
Bone Health and Osteoporosis Foundation
Colorectal Cancer Alliance
Community Access National Network
Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance
FORCE: Facing Our Risk of Cancer Empowered
Gaucher Community Alliance
HIV + Hepatitis Policy Institute
International Waldenstrom's Macroglobulinemia Foundation (IWMMF)
Melanoma Research Foundation
Mesothelioma Applied Research Foundation
MPN Advocacy and Education International
Multiple Sclerosis Association of America
Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America
National Association of Medication Access & Patient Advocacy
National Cancer Treatment Alliance
National Eczema Association
National Gaucher Foundation
onPoint Oncology Inc
The Pink Fund
PlusInc
Prevent Blindness
Project Life
Pulmonary Hypertension Association
RetireSafe
The Sumaira Foundation